

Minutes of NP Committee Meeting – 8pm 24 April in The Close.

Invited: Giles Baxter (Chair), Jerry Moscrop, Simon Russell, Jaqi Mason, Debbie Croft, Laura Buxton, Chris Neill,

Apologies: James Hammond

[One drive links removed for security]

Ser	Item	Action	Action By	Due Date
1	<p><u>Welcome (GB)</u></p> <p>Main purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update on progress in the 5 key areas since last meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Housing Mix ○ SODC pre-application advice ○ Other needs: Surgery, School, The Close ○ Approach to Landowners ○ CLT and Project Funding • Agree our approach to deciding our housing mix needs • NP Committee and CLT Committee structures and membership 	<p>GB produced a diagram to explain who the main stakeholder groups were and how they broadly grouped into Beneficiaries or Delivery, or LPA.</p> <p>Here</p> <p>The committee noted the role of the CLT as a delivery partner, and for the immediate future the CLT would be more 'centre stage' than the NP committee, and this would be reflected in the structure of future meetings.</p>		

Ser	Item	Action	Action By	Due Date
2	<p><u>Previous Minutes (GB)</u></p> <p>13 March:</p>	<p>Minutes agreed</p> <p>Actions complete</p>		
3	<p><u>Project plan (GB)</u></p> <p>As at 17 April (updated to reflect recent delays)</p> <p>Updated Strategy Map</p>	<p>Project Plan Agreed</p>		

Ser	Item	Action	Action By	Due Date
5.	<p><u>Housing Mix (GB)</u></p> <p>Following further discussions with AECOM and SODC, the questions posed by the committee at the last meeting have not been satisfactorily addressed by AECOM, and SODC have confirmed their advice that an HNA is not suitable for addressing our immediate needs which is to establish the optimum housing mix for our CRtBOs. In the event that we have to pursue the 'very special circumstances' route, an HNA may then be required, so SODC advice was 'keep powder dry – we only get one HNA'</p> <p>AECOM have therefore been told not to proceed.</p> <p>The committee task is to agree how we are going to settle the housing mix question.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>GB briefed the committee on the advice from NH. There is no real science to agreeing the mix in a CRtBO situation. The best method is to come up with a mix 'that works', ie financially, delivers community benefit, and the landowner interest.</p>		
6.	<p><u>SODC Pre-Application Advice (GB)</u></p>	<p>Submitted 19/2. GB chased SODC for response 16/5</p>		
7	<p><u>Other Needs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School (DC) • Surgery (GB) • The Close (GB) 	<p>DC advised, (since confirmed in writing) that the school requirement is a second assembly room :</p> <p>Afternote: the scouts have also responded:</p> <p>Liaison with the surgery is ongoing.</p>		

Ser	Item	Action	Action By	Due Date
8	<u>Approach to Landowners (GB/CN)</u>	GB & CN reported on initial discussion with Christopher Purvis which was encouraging. Afternote. 2 nd meeting on 11 May between GB and CP so that CP could explore thinking behind scheme. Key area of discussion was role of CLT. Outcome of this discussion, was reflected in the presentation given by GB at the village meeting on 15 May.		
9	<u>CLT Business Plan/Funding (GM)</u>	CLT now in 1 st accounting period Filed wit FCA Bank account open Applying for charitable status with HMRC Need 3 'responsible' people: GB, SR, GM agreed. Paper issued for signature and return to GM. GM explained there may be some costs for accounting software as part of HMRC 'making tax digital'. GM will prepare share certificates £1 per person. Membership to be advertised at the village meeting.		

Ser	Item	Action	Action By	Due Date
		CRtBO. To be encouraged as (subject to detail), it was entirely consistent with draft NP policy.		